

11th International Workshop on Radiation Safety at Synchrotron Radiation Sources (Radsynch23) ESRF – Grenoble – France

Bulk Shielding Evaluation of 4th Generation Storage Ring in Korea

JUNG, Nam-Suk Pohang Accelerator Laboratory / POSTECH



- Introduction
 - 4GSR (4th Generation Storage Ring) construction project of Korea
 - Specification of 4GSR
 - Radiation Safety Control Policy
- Bulk Shielding of 4GSR
 - Beam Loss Scenario
 - By Semi-empirical formula, SHIELD11 code (in Conceptual Design Report stage)
 - By FLUKA code (in Technical Design Report stage, on-going) → Showing Preliminary Results
- Summary



Introduction: What is 4GSR light source?

- Next Generation Storage Ring
 - : e-beam emittance improvement about 50 ~ 100 times compared to 3rd Generation Storage Ring (3GSR)
- Terms for Next Generation Storage Ring
 - USR : Ultimate Storage Ring, this term was first used in 2000.
 - DLSR : Diffraction Limited Storage ring
 - 4GSR : 4th Generation Storage Ring
- Lattice(Cell structure) of Storage Ring
 - 3GSR : DBA (Double Bend Acromat) or

TBA (Triple Bend Acromat)

- 4GSR : MBA (Multi-Bend Acromat)





Introduction: Worldwide Next Generation SR

	Name	Beam energy (GeV)	Circumference (m)	Emittance (nm·rad)	Status
New	MAX-IV (Sweden)	3	528	0.33	In Operation
	SIRIUS (Brazil)	3	518.4	0.25	In Operation
	HEPS (China)	6	1360.4	0.059	
	SLiT-J (Japan)	3	354	0.93	
Upgrade	ESRF-EBS (EU)	6	844.4	4 → 0.13	In Operation
(from 3GSR to 4GSR)	APS-U (USA)	$7 \rightarrow 6$	1,104	3.1 ightarrow 0.042	
	ALS-U (USA)	2	196.8	$2 \rightarrow 0.07$	
	SPring-8-II (Japan)	$8 \rightarrow 6$	1,436	2.4 ightarrow 0.149	
	SLS-II (Swiss)	$3 \rightarrow 3.5$	290.4	5 → 0.13	
	Diamond II (UK)	$3 \rightarrow 3.5$	561.6	2.8 → 0.125	
	SOLEIL II (France)	2.75	353.1	3.7 → 0.072	
	PETRA-IV (Germany)	6	2,304	1 → 0.02	
	ELETTRA 2.0 (Italy)	2	259.2	$7 \rightarrow 0.25$	



Introduction: 4GSR project in Korea

- History
 - 2019. 10 : Start a Conceptual Design of 4GSR by PAL/KBSI/KAERI collaboration
 - 2020. 5 : Determine a construction site as 'Cheongju'
 - 2020. 6 : Publish a Conceptual Design Report (CDR)^[1]
 - 2021. 7 : Determine a institution to conduct construction project

as KBSI (Korea Basic Science Institute)

- 2021. 7 (~ 2027. 6) : Start a construction project, 'Multipurpose Synchrotron Radiation Construction Project'
- Counterpart in the construction project
- KBSI : Building & Infrastructure
- PAL : Accelerator & Beamline
- (+ Radiation Shielding, Radiation Protection System)



[1] Pohang Accelerator Laboratory, "Conceptual Design Report of 4GSR", PAL-PUB-2000-004, 2000.



Introduction: Specification of 4GSR in Korea

• Specification (in CDR)

	Category	Value
SR Beam Energy		4 GeV
	Stored Current	400 mA
Ring Circumference		798.8 m
	Symmetry	28
Straight Section No.		28
	Straight Section length	6.5 m
	Dipole magnet No.	28 x 7 = 196
	Emittance	58 pm rad
Booster	Energy	0.2 GeV to 4 GeV
	Circumference	756.86 m
	Revolution time	2.52 µs

- Plan view (in CDR)
 - : Booster Ring & Storage Ring in same tunnel



• 10 Beamlines will be constructed in end of construction project.

Beam direction : counter-clockwise



Radiation Safety Control Policy (Same policy with PAL)

- Dose Limit (based on Korean Regulation)
- Radiation Workers (RW): 20 mSv/y
- Frequent Visitors : 6 mSv/y
- Public (including User) : 1 mSv/y
- Site Boundary : 0.25 mSv/y

• Shielding Criteria

(Normal Operation)

- RW accessible area : 10 mSv/y,

 $1\!\!\!/_2$ of dose limit based on ALARA

- User accessible area : 1 mSv/y



- Restricted Area
- Generally-Controlled Area
- Radiologically-Controlled Area
- High Radiation Area

 $: 0.25 \text{ mSv/y} \le \text{Dose} < 1 \text{ mSv/y}$

- $: 1 \text{ mSv/y} \le \text{ Dose} < 20 \text{ mSv/y}$
- : 20 mSv/y \leq Dose < 1 mSv/h
- : Dose \geq 1 mSv/h (No Access)



(In Accident) 1 mSv within 1 h for single event



Beam Loss Scenario

- Stored beam energy & current : **4 GeV, 400 mA** (= 6.65 x 10¹² electrons)
- SR operation mode : **Top-up**
- Top-up operation cycle : 240 hr = 10 day = 1 operation shift : every 2 weeks
 - \rightarrow First injection is full-injection, and thereafter a 7200 top-up injection occur every 2 min
- Loss rate of stored current (distributed loss around SR considering Touschek lifetime)

: **4 mA during 2 min** (= 6.65 x 10¹⁰ electrons)

- Electron energy increasing in Booster : 200 MeV to 4 GeV \rightarrow 4 GeV assumption
- Loss fraction in booster (distributed loss around Booster) : 2% (H.J. Moe's assumption for APS booster^[1])
- Beam loss fraction during injection : **10%** (Injection efficiency : **90%**)
 - \rightarrow 1/2 of loss is occurred at injection position, septum magnet

1/2 of loss is distributed around SR (or Booster)

H.J. Moe, "Advanced Photon Source: Radiological Design Considerations", APS-LS-141 Revised, 1991.
 R. Madacki et al., "Sirius Bulk Shielding", in Proceedings of Radsynch13, 8-10 May 2013, BNL, USA.
 J. Choi, in private communication, 2021.

Loss fraction in Booster

- NSLS-II : 10 ~ 20% ^[3]

- SIRIUS : 20% ^[2]



- Estimation of injection efficiency in shielding design
 - According to accumulation of operation experience and improvement of injection device performance,

the assumption of injection efficiency in the recent shielding design tends to be set high.

(Booster injection) SIRIUS^[1]: 80%, APS-U^[2]: 80%, BESSY-VSR^[3]: 90%,

SPring-8-II^[4]: 85%, NSLS-II^[5,6]: > 90%

(LINAC injection) MAX-IV^[7]: 50%

We assumed **90% injection efficiency** for 4GSR in Korea.

[1] R. Madacki et al., "Sirius Bulk Shielding", in Proceedings of Radsynch13, 8-10 May 2013, BNL, USA.

[2] B.J. Micklich, "Radiation Physics Issues for the Advanced Photon Source Upgrade", in Proceedings of Radsynch15, 3-5 June 2015, DESY, Germany.

[3] Y. Bergmann et al., "Radiation Protection Issues of BESSY VSR", in Proceeding of Radsynch19, 22-24 May 2019, MAX-IV, Sweden.

[4] RIKEN SPring-8 Center, "SPring-8-II Conceptual Design Report", RIKEN, November 2014.

[5] J. Choi, in private communication, 2021.

[6] G.M. Wang et al., "NSLS-II Storage Ring Injection Optimization", IPAC2015, Richmond, VA, USA

[7] MAX IV Facility, "Detailed Design Report on the MAX IV Facility, Chapter 7: MAX IV Shielding", MAX IV Facility, August 2010.



Beam Loss Scenario



⁽Booster & SR are sharing the same tunnel enclosure.)

 Number of lost electrons (Power) for distributed loss around SR
 : 5.14 x 10¹⁴ e-/shift (381.1 mW)

8.3 shift/year = 2000 hr/year \rightarrow 4.28 x 10¹⁵ e-/year (3.174 W)

 Number of lost electrons (Power) for distributed loss around Booster
 : 4.73 x 10¹³ e-/shift (10.6 mW)

8.3 shift/year = 2000 hr/year \rightarrow 3.94 x 10¹⁴ e-/year (88.3 mW)



Two Different Areas in SR-Booster Tunnel

- Beam loss in 'Non-injection area'
 - : SR distributed + Booster distributed
- Beam loss in 'Injection area'
 - : SR distributed + Booster distributed
 - + SR injection + BTS(Booster-to-SR) distributed
 - + Booster extraction + Booster injection



We designed different shielding thickness for two areas in SR-booster tunnel.

Injection area in SR-Booster Tunnel & Linac Tunnel (April, 2023)

> Beam direction : counter-clockwise



Method of Bulk Shielding: SHIELD11 code^[1]

- Code for performing shielding analyses around a high-energy electron accelerator
- Developed by W.R. Nelson and T.M. Jenkins (Stanford Linear Accelerator Center)
- Simple analytic expression for production and attenuation of photons and neutrons resulting from electron striking thick targets
- Photon and neutron group into five components
 - **GRN** : Giant-Resonance Neutron, $0.1 < E_n < 20 \text{ MeV}$
 - **MID** : Mid-Energy Neutron, $20 < E_n < 100 \text{ MeV}$
 - **HEN** : High-Energy Neutron, E_n > 100 MeV
 - GamD : Direct Gamma, by EM shower
 - Gaml : Indirect Gamma, 25% of HEN dose rate

[1] W.R. Nelson and T.M. Jenkins, "The SHIELD11 Computer Code", SLAC-R-737, 2005

• Geometry



Available (built-in) material 1) Concrete (2.35 g/cm³) 2) Fe (7.87 g/cm³) 3) Pb (11.35 g/cm³)



Steps for Bulk Shielding Design of SR-Booster Tunnel

• Three steps for bulk shielding design

1st step: Simplification of geometry to be circular by SHIELD11

 \rightarrow Estimation of required shielding thickness

2nd step: Consideration of **real ratchet structure** for the side wall & end wall by **SHIELD11**

- \rightarrow Checking the possibility to **reduce the shielding thickness** for the **side wall** with local shield
- \rightarrow Determination of the sufficient shielding thickness for the end wall

3rd step: Comparison and verification of SHIELD11 results by FLUKA code (On-going)



Nam-Suk Jung, Radsynch23, 30 May 2023, ESRF, Grenoble, France



Bulk Shielding: 1st step

- Dimension for circular assumption (in CDR)
 - Radius of SR : 125.2 m
 - Radius of Booster : 120.5 m
 - Distance between SR to outer wall : 1.1 m (closest)
 - Distance between Booster to inner wall : 0.5 m
- Number of target : 3600 (Angle bin, $\Delta\theta$: 0.1°)
- Lost power in each target of SR : 381.1 / 3600 = 0.106 mW (per shift) Booster : 10.6 / 3600 = 0.003 mW
- Dose at detector position, P (behind tunnel wall)

$$\dot{H_P} = \sum_{\Delta\theta=1}^{3600} \dot{H}_{\Delta\theta,SR} + \sum_{\Delta\theta=1}^{3600} \dot{H}_{\Delta\theta,Booster}$$

But, effective angle to dose: < 10° (θ = $353^{\circ} \sim 3^{\circ}$)

Effective target location to dose at position P



Target Assumption for SHIELD11



- Thin target vs. Thick target for outer wall
- Photon dose decreases as the target thickness increases.
- Neutron dose is similar.
- As the concrete thickness increases,
- the dose contribution at the point closest to the target is highest.
- Target assumption
 - : From consideration of magnet dimension,
 - \rightarrow 3 cm or 10 cm thick Iron



Annual Dose Changes according to Wall Thickness



- Photon dose is dominant to decide the thickness of tunnel wall.
- Even with inner wall that is closer to the booster, the dose caused by SR beam loss is greater.
 But, it could be changed if the different loss fraction in booster is applied.

(With 20% distributed loss assumption in booster, dose by SR ≈ dose by booster) Nam-Suk Jung, Radsynch23, 30 May 2023, ESRF, Grenoble, France



Required Wall Thickness : by SHIELD 11, 1st Step

	Concrete Wall Thickness [cm]	Annual Dose [mSv]			
Position		Sum (SR+Booster)	SR distributed	Booster distributed	
Outer Sidewall	80	0.83	0.82	< 0.01	
Outer Sidewall	85	0.59	0.58	< 0.01	
Innor Sidowall	35	8.52	7.03	1.49	
	40	5.60	4.68	0.92	

Required thickness of sidewall

- 80 cm concrete for outer wall
- 40 cm concrete for inner wall
 - : considering the ambiguity of Booster distributed loss
 - (safe up to 20% loss assumption)



Bulk Shielding: by SHIELD11, 2nd step

- Consideration of 'Real Ratchet' structure
- Number of target (electron loss position) : 6

P1 : Straight section to consider the end wall shielding caused the forward-peaking bremsstrahlung photon

P2 ~ P6 : After the quadrupole located downstream of dipole (based on the experience of PLS-II)

- Lost power per shift in each target of SR : 381.1 / 28 / 6 = 2.26 mW
- Approach for sidewall
 - : Reduction of outer sidewall thickness

(80 cm \rightarrow 70 cm) with local shield installation at closest wall

 \rightarrow Size of local shield ?







Example of SHIELD11 results, 2nd step

5 cm lead, 5.5 m local





Bulk Shielding : FLUKA code

Preliminary result !

- Used version : FLUKA 4-3.2 (CERN branch)
- Layout of accelerator & tunnel was changed. (Not fixed yet)
- Target at P1 wasn't used because P1 and P6' is on same axis.
- Lost power per shift in each target was increased as 20%.
 - : 2.26 mW \rightarrow 2.72 mW





Nam-Suk Jung, Radsynch23, 30 May 2023, ESRF, Grenoble, France

- Side Wall : 80 cm thick Ordinary Concrete
 - (\because SR-to-side wall distance was reduced.)
- End Wall : 100 cm thick Ordinary Concrete
 + 15 cm thick Lead
- Inner Wall to infield : 50 cm
 (∵ Booster-to-inner wall distance was reduced.)
 Roof : 50 cm



- Comparison with SHIELD11 results for 80 cm thick sidewall
 - Two results are similar, even beam loss point and

geometries were not same.





Nam-Suk Jung, Radsynch23, 30 May 2023, ESRF, Grenoble, France



- Concept Design of End Wall & Lead Collimators in Front-end
 - End Wall : 30 cm-thick Lead, to replace 100 cm concrete at the spool
 - Collimators : 2 collimators, 30 cm-thick Lead

1 as close as to SR, 1 in front of Safety Shutter



60



- Results for End Wall & Lead Collimators in Front-end
 - The position of collimators is sufficiently effective.
 - \rightarrow Collimator size and location will be determined in conjunction with beamline detailed design.





Thickness of Safety Shutter

- Need to consider the 'Gas Bremsstrahlung'
 - Produced by stored electrons interact with residual gas in vacuum chamber



- These photons generated at insertion device (ID) position can be increased the dose at photon beamline
- Using the semi-empirical formula

$$\dot{H} = 1.7 \times 10^{-16} E^{2.43} \frac{p}{p_{atm}} IL \left(\frac{10 + L/2}{d}\right)^2 \text{ (Tromba's Eq. [1])}$$

$$\dot{H} = 2.5 \times 10^{-27} \left(\frac{E}{0.511}\right)^{2.67} \frac{L}{d(L+d)} I \frac{p}{p_0} \quad \text{(Ferrari's Eq. [2])}$$

E : electron energy [MeV]

 p/p_{atm} , p/p_0 : pressure ratio (p_{atm} : 760 Torr, p_0 : 10⁻⁹ Torr)

I : beam current [e⁻/sec]

• Results : $\dot{H} < 0.5 \,\mu Sv/h$ (= 1 mSv/yr)

	Straight (Undulator)	Bending (Dipole)
Length of air path, L [m]	8.1	2.9
Distance from dose point to air path, d [m]	25.3	24.9
Bremsstrahlung dose rate [Sv/h]	0.59 (Tromba's Eq.) 1.12 (Ferrari's Eq.)	0.15 (Tromba's Eq.) 0.49 (Ferrari's Eq.)
Dose behind 31 cm-thick Lead* [µSv/h]	0.48 (Ferrari's Eq.)	0.21 (Ferrari's Eq.)

* Tungsten 23 cm is the same shielding performance.

G. Tromba and A. Rindi, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 292, 700 (1990)
 A. Ferrari et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B 83, 518 (1993)





25

• Safety Shutter : Tungsten 23 cm is effective to block the forward-peaking bremsstrahlung photons



Nam-Suk Jung, Radsynch23, 30 May 2023, ESRF, Grenoble, France

Up-down direction of front Pb : need to be extended



Summary of Bulk Shielding for SR-Booster Tunnel

(March, 2023)

Wall Components	Non-injection Area	Injection Area
Side Wall	80 cm Concrete	100 cm Concrete
End Wall	100 cm Concrete + 15 cm Lead	110 cm Concrete + 15 cm Lead
Inner Wall to Infield	50 cm Concrete	70 cm Concrete
Roof	50 cm Concrete	70 cm Concrete

- FLUKA calculation is on-going. - FLUKA calculation is ready to start.



- The required bulk shielding thickness of a 4GSR in KOREA was evaluated by SHIELD11 & FLUKA code.
- The radiation control policy in accordance with Korean regulations and the beam loss scenario were established.; High injection efficiency, 90%, was assumed reflecting recent trends.
- The SHIELD11 and FLUKA results showed good agreement for bulk shielding evaluation
- The final tunnel design may changed slightly since accelerator design is in progress, but the established design approach will continue to be used in the future.



Thank you for your attention !!

nsjung@postech.ac.kr

