"Comparing the Measured and Designed Performance of the Diamond Storage Ring"

I. Martin

Non-Linear Beam Dynamics in Storage Rings: From Modelling to Experiment 26th to 28th May 2008



Talk Outline

1) Linear optics

- Correction with LOCO
- Summary of main parameters

2) Non-linear optics

- Dynamic aperture / FMA measurement
- Sextupole calibration method using tune-shift
- Application to machine
- 3) Lifetime measurements4) Summary



1) Linear Optics





1) Linear Optics - LOCO

Linear-optics corrected using LOCO*



*J. Safranek, "Experimental Determination of Storage Ring Optics Using Orbit Response Measurements", Nucl. Inst. And Meth. A388, 27 (1997)

Original LOCO optimisation:

Beta beat ~40% reduced to ~1-2% Coupling <~0.1% Injection efficiency ~100% Vertical dispersion ~8mm to ~0.4mm

Modified LOCO optimisation: Beta beat ~40% reduced to ~1% Coupling <~0.1% Injection efficiency ~100% Vertical dispersion ~6mm to ~0.3mm



1) Linear Optics - LOCO



Non-Linear Beam Dynamics in Storage Rings Workshop, I. Martin, 27th May 2008

1) Linear Optics - Summary

	Target	Measured	
Emittance	2.74 nm.rad	2.6-2.9 nm.rad	
Energy Spread	0.096%	0.09% - 0.11%	
Coupling	<1%	<0.1% to >3%	
Tunes (x / y)	27.225 / 12.363	27.225 / 12.363	
Natural Chromaticity (x / y)	-79 / -35	-68 / -28	
Bunch Length (2MV)	13.5ps	~14.5ps	
Bunch Length (3.3MV)	9.5ps	~10.3ps	
Momentum compaction factor	1.71e-4	1.76e-4	



2) Non-Linear Optics





2) Non-Linear Optics - Hardware

- Non-linear beam dynamics investigated using 'pinger' magnets (3µs pulse)
- BPM non-linearities taken into account
- Measured BPM date scaled with beta back to centre of long straight (injection point)





2) Non-Linear Optics – Dynamic Aperture

Horizontal Dynamic Aperture

(Zero vertical amplitude)

Vertical Dynamic Aperture

(Zero horizontal amplitude)





2) Non-Linear Optics – Dynamic Aperture





2) Non-Linear Optics – Frequency Map



Measured Frequency Map





Developed method to correct tune-shifts with amplitude:

- 1. Measure chromaticity and tune-shifts with amplitude
- 2. Build sensitivity matrix measuring the tune-shift response to sextupole strength variations (attempt to linearise problem)
- 3. Apply corrections to machine sextupoles
- 4. Iterate until convergence reached



Define vectors:

$$t = \left[\frac{dQ_x}{dx^2}, \frac{dQ_y}{dx^2}, \frac{dQ_x}{dy^2}, \frac{dQ_y}{dy^2}, \frac{dQ_y}{dp}, \frac{dQ_x}{dp}, \frac{dQ_y}{dp}\right]$$

$$s = [K_{S1A}, K_{S2A}, K_{S1B}, K_{S2B}, K_{S1C}, K_{S2C}, K_{S1D}, K_{S2D}]$$

Generate linearised sensitivity matrix R:

$$R_{i,j} = \frac{\Delta t_i}{\Delta s_j}$$

Invert R and use to calculate sextupole gradient corrections

$$\Rightarrow \Delta s = R^{-1} \Delta t$$





Non-Linear Beam Dynamics in Storage Rings Workshop, I. Martin, 27th May 2008



15

Non-Linear Beam Dynamics in Storage Rings Workshop, I. Martin, 27th May 2008









But does it help?

A successful sextupole optimisation should:

- Give increased Touschek lifetime
- Give increased dynamic aperture
- Give information about sextupole calibration constants

Need to confirm benefits of optimisation....



Applied tune-shift correction procedure for <u>3 different starting</u> points:

Case 1 (model): model sextupoles

Case 2 (user):

model sextupoles with chromatic increased to give chromaticity +2/+2

Case 3 (fit):

model sextupoles scaled to make measured chromaticity match expected values from the model (chromaticity +1.6 / +0.85)



Initial sextupole strengths:

	CASE 1 (MODEL)	CASE 2 (USER)	CASE 3 (FIT)
S1A (m ⁻³)	+18.2	+19.3 (+6.3%)	+18.8 (+3.8%)
S2A (m ⁻³)	-16.8	-18.1 (+8.0%)	-17.6 (+4.8%)
<mark>S1B</mark> (m⁻³)	+10.5	+10.5 (+0.0%)	+10.9 (+3.8%)
<mark>S1C</mark> (m⁻³)	+8.5	+8.5 (+0.0%)	+8.8 (+3.8%)
<mark>S1D</mark> (m⁻³)	+6.9	+6.9 (+0.0%)	+7.2 (+3.8%)
S2B (m⁻³)	-16.6	-16.6 (-0.0%)	-17.3 (+3.8%)
S2C (m⁻³)	-10.9	-10.9 (-0.0%)	-11.3 (+3.8%)
S2D (m⁻³)	-16.8	-16.8 (-0.0%)	-17.4 (+3.8%)

CHROMATIC sextupoles in green, HARMONIC sextupoles in red



Final sextupole strengths:

	CASE 1 (MODEL)	CASE 2 (USER)	CASE 3 (FIT)	
S1A (m ⁻³)	+18.9 (+4.0%)	+18.8 (+3.8%)	+18.8 (+3.7%)	
S2A (m ⁻³)	-17.6 (+4.8%)	-17.5 (+4.5%)	-17.5 (+4.6%)	
<mark>S1B</mark> (m⁻³)	+11.1 (+5.3%)	+11.1 (+5.8%)	+10.9 (+4.0%)	
S1C (m⁻³)	+8.4 (-0.4%)	+8.7 (+2.2%)	+8.9 (+5.2%)	
S1D (m ⁻³)	+6.8 (-2.2%)	+6.7 (-3.5%)	+6.9 (+0.3%)	
S2B (m⁻³)	-16.9 (+1.4%)	-16.7 (+0.2%)	-16.9 (+1.5%)	
S2C (m ⁻³)	-11.0 (+1.2%)	-11.3 (+3.6%)	-11.4 (+4.9%)	
S2D (m ⁻³)	-17.8 (+6.0%)	-18.2 (+8.6%)	-18.2 (+8.5%)	

CHROMATIC sextupoles in green, HARMONIC sextupoles in red



After tune-shift correction procedure applied:

- On-momentum dynamic aperture **REDUCED**
- Touschek lifetime INCREASED

	USER	CASE 1	CASE 2	CASE 3
Max. Horizontal Kick (mm)	11.4	10.3	10.1	10.2
Max. Vertical Kick (mm)	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.3
Single bunch lifetime (1mA, 3MV)	4.4h	5.1h	5.3h	5.5h



2) Non-Linear Optics - Summary

Tune-shift correction procedure shows mixed results:

- Tune shifts can be matched to model for low amplitudes
- Increased Touschek lifetime

However:

- At larger amplitudes machine and model tune-shifts still diverge
- Reduced on-momentum dynamic aperture
- Large changes required for sextupoles (unlikely from calibration)
- Not unique solution (could include higher order chromaticity in fit?)
- Difficult to measure precisely (tunes drift slightly with time / close to precision of measurement)



3) Lifetime

Diamond storage ring is Touschek dominated for currents > ~0.1mA \rightarrow Single bunch lifetime is a measure of overall momentum acceptance Note 2nd order mom. comp. factor strong (α_1 =1.7×10⁻⁴, α_2 =1.9×10⁻³)





3) Lifetime

For V_{RF} below 2MV, mom. acc. is limited by RF only For V_{RF} above 2MV, mom. acc. is limited by RF and dynamic aperture Maximum lifetime is at 2.6MV (mom. acc. = +3.5% / -5%)



25

4) Summary

Linear optics:

- •Generally understood (well corrected / controlled)
- •Still some anomalies to be explained (bunch length, natural chromaticity)

Non-linear optics:

Testing different methods for calibrating sextupoles
Some success in producing desired beam dynamics, but
Resulting sextupole strengths far from expected values
Only the targeted beam dynamics parameters are corrected

Still some way to go...



Acknowledgements

Thanks to:

R. Bartolini, R. Fielder, E. Longhi, B. Singh

G. Rehm

J. Rowland

DLS Operations Group

