

## Report Session 3

### Comparison modeling/experiments

- ESRF
  - Non linear behaviour: a few puzzling points (Annick Ropert)
- DIAMOND
  - Calibration of non-linear ring model (Riccardo Bartolini)
  - Comparing measured/design performance (Ian Martin)
- SOLEIL
  - Comparison modeling/reality: transverse dynamic aperture (Laurent Nadolski)
- + LHC (SPS) on-line modeling (Frank Schmidt)

### **1. Experimental equipment**

#### **Pingers: very powerful for...**

1. creating turn by turn beam signals for FFT
2. direct probing of transverse apertures
  - require calibration by scraper

#### **→ Scrapers**

#### **Turn by turn BPM system**

- ~ 10 micron resolution
- take into account nonlinearity of BPM calibration!

#### **Lifetime measurement**

- Current transformer
- Sum signal of BPMs (faster)

### **2. Methods for experiment and model-based machine improvement**

#### **LOCO is standard technique**

- Beta beat correction 1% (Diamond), 0.3% (Soleil)
- Coupling suppression 0.1% (Diamond)
- Vertical dispersion 0.3 mm (Diamond)

#### **Frequency maps**

- Tunes as function of momentum and transverse amplitudes
- Same method (FFT/NAFF) for tracking code and experiment

#### **Resonance identification in beam spectra**

- record *all* BPMs for comparison with tracking, because amplitude and phase of resonance varies along ring

- rather short samples (Diamond: 128 turns):  
resonance order  $m+1$  decoheres  $m$  x faster than fundamental
- NAFF standard method for evaluation of spectra
- **Suppression of resonances by LOCO-analogue method for sextupole corrections → real gain in lifetime/acceptance!**

### **3. Results: achieved level of understanding the machines**

#### **Linear optics: good agreement model/reality**

- Tunes, beta, dispersion: agreement
- Chromaticity: deviation for Diamond. General: model imperfections?
- Equilibrium parameters: ok

#### **Nonlinear optics:**

##### **> Tune shifts / frequency maps**

Agreement model/reality requires some iterations and model refinements...

- Diamond: sextupole scaling – calibration error?
- ESRF ok, new possibilities by increased number of sextupole families
- Soleil: ok
- [BESSY: including octupole moments in quadrupoles to get agreement]

##### **> Resonance driveterms**

- SPS/Tevatron: agreement with model prediction achieved.
- Diamond: successfully used for lifetime increase (proves agreement)
- ESRF: practical approach: dedicated sextupole correctors for suppression of single resonances: it works!

##### **> Acceptances**

- Vertical: well understood:
  - Given approx. by physical limit due to ID gaps,
  - dynamic slightly less due to nonlinear distortions.
- Horizontal & injection efficiency:
  - **Horizontal DA usually less than model, not fully understood.**
  - But large enough to provide good injection efficiency.
- Longitudinal and Touschek lifetime:
  - Diamond, Soleil, ESRF: ~ok for bare lattice
  - ESRF: breakdown at -1%  $dp/p$ , but no impact on lifetime
  - Soleil: strong impact from IDs

#### **Conclusion:**

- Exploit turn by turn BPM signals also for nonlinear machine optimization
- Work on understanding of horizontal acceptance limitations
- Modeling of insertion devices and understanding/compensation of their effects