Overview of lifetime issues at ESRF A. Ropert and L. Farvacque

**ESRF** figures Key parameters and design strategy Review of the different parameters Correction of errors ✓ Physical aperture Energy acceptance **Dynamic aperture** Vorking point -----



#### Lifetime is an important parameter for : Users (thermal stability) Machine physicists (losses and activation, injection efficiency)

Mu	ltib	unch	fill	ling

70 h in uniform at 200 mA coupling: 0.6 % topping-up every 12 h

16-bunch

15 h at 90 mA coupling: 2 % topping-up every 6 h



## **Key parameters for the lifetime**

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_G} + \frac{1}{\tau_B} + \frac{1}{\tau_T}$$

Machine energy
Average pressure and gas composition
Physical aperture and / or dynamic aperture
Bunch volume Bunch current Transverse emittances
Acceptance in energy



## Septum in the horizontal plane Low gap vessels (±4 mm) or in-vacuum undulators in the vertical plane





## Strategy for achieving the best lifetime

How to achieve the best lifetime when tuning a new lattice?

Define in simulations the best tuning Working point, harmonic sextupoles, error compensation

Characterise expected performances
 Dynamic aperture, energy acceptance, tune shifts
 with amplitude and momentum...

What is the relationship between these macroscopic performance characteristics and the lifetime ?

The larger / smaller they are, the longer should be the lifetime



## **Achieving the best lifetime (1)**





### **ESRF** Achieving the best lifetime (2)







#### **Focusing errors**

#### **Third-order resonances**





![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

**Energy acceptance (1)** 

Determined by the RF bucket height or by transverse limitations (physical or dynamic)

**Measurements** 

Operate the machine in single bunch mode at low coupling
 Record the lifetime evolution as a function of the RF voltage

• Deduce  $\Delta p/p$  from the fit of the experimental data.

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Energy acceptance (2)**

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

The saturation of the lifetime increase indicates a transverse aperture related limitation

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Energy acceptance (3)**

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

No effect of the septum position ---> dynamic acceptance limitation Another measurement in favour of a dynamic aperture limitation

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Impact of a refliced dynamic aperture (1)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Impact of a refliced dynamic aperture (2)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

Good correlation between aperture and lifetime reduction

Ξ

**P**m

8

e

Lifetime (h)

A.Ropert, ropenwesry.ji

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Detuning of straight sections**

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### No significant effect of the detuning on the lifetime

A.Ropert, ropert@esrf.fr

Confidence for the future upgrade of straight sections

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

It is difficult to identify the key parameter among the various parameters acting on the lifetime

The correlation between the experimental impact of some parameters and the results from modelling is not obvious

The severe breaking of the lattice symmetry as induced by the detuning of a straight section has no dramatic effect on the lifetime