Imaging Theory for X-Ray Pixel Detectors

Gisela Anton University of Erlangen-Nürnberg IWORID 2005



X-Ray Imaging Elements



X-Ray Image



 $\mu(E,\vec{r})$

attenuation coefficient

X-Ray Imaging Elements



Detector Transfer Function

$$I(E_o, x_o, y_o)$$



$$\widetilde{I}(E, x, y)$$







A. Simulation calculations:

→ ROSI (Erlangen)

B. Measurements:

\rightarrow Medipix 1 and 2

Imaging modalities

A. monoenergetic projective image

B. spectral projective image

C. tomographic image (3D)

A. Monoenergetic Projective Image

Intensity at detector position (x,y) :

$$I(x, y) = I_{o} e^{-\int_{0}^{L} \mu(\vec{r}) dl}$$

Image information at position (x,y) :

$$t(x, y) = -\ln \frac{I(x, y)}{I_o}$$
$$= \int_0^L \mu(\vec{r}) dl$$



$$\mu = \mu(E)$$

Aim: Visibility of Structures

Structure:
$$I_1(x_1, y_1) \neq I_2(x_2, y_2)$$

Detector requirements:

- direct: position resolution intensity resolution
- indirect: pixel size: detection efficiency high dynamic range low noise

p (first interaction)
c = max

 $\rightarrow 0$ $\epsilon = 1$ 0, inf noise = 0

Position resolution

- ideal: measure point of first interaction with infinite resolution
- real: 1) physics of X-Ray interaction with sensor material

first interaction: photoabsorption. Compton scattering, Rayleigh scattering $e^{-} e^{-}\gamma$ $e^{-}\gamma$ γ

secondary interactions: electrons: dE/dx, photons: photoabs., Compton, Rayleigh

- 2) propagation of charges (scin.photons)
- 3) finite size of sensor (read out) pixels

Propagation of electrons:



Electron 90% energy range: $< 20 \,\mu m$ for E $< 100 \,keV$ in CdTe

plots taken from the phd thesis of M.Mitschke, Erlangen 2005

Propagation of electrons:



Electron 90% energy range: $< 50 \ \mu m$ for E $< 100 \ keV$ in Si

plots taken from the phd thesis of M.Mitschke, Erlangen 2005

Propagation of secondary photons:

Trans Integral 0.9944 10 keV, GaAs, fluorescence on, 3D, e-10 keV, GaAs, fluorescence on, e-0.2 0.18 sity 0.16 10 0.14 0.12 10-2 0.1 0.08 10 0.06 0.04 10 0.02 10 0.04 0.04 Y 1cm 0.02 0.02 0 0 04 -0.02 0 0.02 0.04 0.02 -0.02 x (cm) -0.02 -0.04 -0 02 -0.04 -0.04 -0.04 Trans 12 keV, GaAs, fluorescence on, 3D, e-12 keV, GaAs, fluorescence on, e-Integral 0.8865 0.2 0.18 sity 0.16 nten 10 0 14 0.12 10 0.1 0.08 10 0.06 0.04 10 0.02 10 0 0.04 0.04 V/cm, 0.02 0.02 0 0.04 0.02 0.04 0 -0.02 0.02 x [cm] ò -0.02 -0.04 -0.02 -0.04 -0.02 ò -0.04 -0.04

GaAs 12 keV

GaAs

10 keV

Propagation of secondary photons:



Propagation of secondary photons:

CZT 26 keV





CZT 27 keV





Propagation of secondary photons:



1) physics of X-Ray interaction with sensor material Propagation of secondary photons:

GaAs; 80 keV fluorescence off





GaAs; 80 keV fluorescence on







Radius of 90 % of deposited Energy: $r < 20 \mu m$ for E < 80 keV in GaAs

Propagation of secondary photons:

CdZnTe; 80keV fluorescence off



plots taken from the work of J.Durst, Erlangen 2004

CdZnTe; 80keV fluorsecence on

Propagation of secondary photons:



Radius of 90 % of deposited Energy: $r < 70 \mu m$ for E < 80 keV in CdZnTe

2) propagation of charges: losses and charge sharing

Propagation of electrons and holes in semiconductor sensors:

Important parameters: sensor bias voltage --> electrical field strength lifetime of electrons and holes mobility of electrons and holes homogeneity of material

see talk by Michaela Mitschke

result: 90 % charge radius r < 10 μ m for E < 100 keV in 300 μ m CdZnTe

Spatial energy deposition and charge sharing lead to:

For counting detectors:

- --> enlarged effective pixel size for low threshold reduced effective pixel size for high threshold -->see talk by Michaela Mitschke
- --> reduced counting efficiency for high threshold--> double counting for low threshold

for intergrating detectors: enlarged effective pixel size no false fluence

Summary: contributions to position resolution

real: 1) physics of X-Ray interaction with sensor material

photoabsorption. Compton scattering, Rayleigh scattering $e^{-} e^{-}\gamma$ $e^{-}\gamma$ γ γ 90 % energy radius: $r < 10 - 100 \mu m$

2) propagation of charges:

90 % charge radius: $r < 10 \mu m$

 3) finite size of read out pixels: examples: Medipix 1: 170 μm Medipix 2: 55 μm



photograph



X-Ray Image (spectral)

plots taken from the phd thesis of F.Pfeiffer, Erlangen 2004





Sampling theorem:

structure size s needs pixel size 1 = s/2 spacial frequency f needs sampling frequency: $f_{samp} = 2f$

Modulation transfer function:

$$MTF(u,v) = \frac{(FT) out(x,y)}{(FT) in(x,y)}$$

For square pixel:

$$MTF = \frac{\sin(\pi f l)}{(\pi f l)} = \sin c (\pi f l)$$

position resolution: MTF with Medipix 1 and 2:



$$MTF(u,v) = \frac{(FT) out(x, y)}{(FT) in(x, y)}$$

MTF from Hüttner-Grid



$$MTF(u,v) = (FT) PSF(x,y)$$

MTF from edge method

plots taken from the work of F.Pfeiffer, M.Hoheisel, Erlangen 2004

position resolution: MTF and low frequency drop



plots taken from the work of A.Korn, M.Hoheisel et al., Erlangen

position resolution: MTF and low frequency drop



plots taken from the work of A.Korn, M.Hoheisel et al., Erlangen

Visibility of structures : Contrast

Contrast:

$$C = \frac{I_2 - I_1}{I_1 + I_2} = \frac{N_2 - N_1}{N_1 + N_2}$$

Signal difference to noise ratio:



$$SDNR = \frac{\Delta I}{\sigma_I} = C\sqrt{N_1 + N_2}$$

Visibility for given contrast: need large $N = \Phi A$

- --> long exposure
- --> large area of structure

Contrast-detail-visibility



CDMAM phantom: gold disks of various size and thickness

Contrast-detail-visibility



Mammographic phantom: Al_2O_3 grains, size 1.1 to 1.5 mm

Contrast-detail-visibility



Medipix 1

Medipix 2

Mammographic phantom: Al₂O₃ grains, size .55 to .75 mm

Summary: Detector Transfer Function

$$I(E_o, x_o, y_o)$$



$$\widetilde{I}\left(E,x,y\right)$$





B. Spectral Projective Image



Spectral Projective Imaging

Image information: (energy resolving detector)

$$t(E, x, y) = -\ln \frac{I_o(E) e^{-\int_0^L \mu(E, \vec{r}) dl}}{I_o(E)} = \int_0^L \mu(E, \vec{r}) dl$$

Integrating detector:

$$t_{I}(x, y) = -\ln \frac{\int_{E_{th}}^{E_{max}} I_{o}(E) E e^{-\int_{0}^{L} \mu (E, \vec{r}) dl} dE}{\int I_{o}(E) E dE}$$

Counting detector:

$$t_{C}(x, y) = -\ln \frac{\int_{E_{th}}^{E_{max}} I_{o}(E) e^{-\int_{0}^{L} \mu(E, \vec{r}) dl} dE}{\int I_{o}(E) dE}$$

Spectral projective X-Ray Image Information



How to make use of the energy information:

- 1) single image for each energy --> too much noise per image !
- 2) contrast energy weighted image
- 3) material reconstruction

Spectral sensitivity







2. Contrast energy weighted image

Contrast:

$$C(E_i) = \frac{I_2(E_i) - I_1(E_i)}{I_2(E_i) + I_1(E_i)} = \frac{e^{-\mu_{1i}d_1} - e^{-\mu_{2i}d_2}}{e^{-\mu_{1i}d_1} + e^{-\mu_{2i}d_2}} = w_i$$

By taking

$$I = \sum I_i w_i$$

$$SDNR = \frac{I_2 - I_1}{\sigma} = \frac{\sum (I_{2,i} - I_{1,i})w_i}{\sqrt{\sum (I_{2,i} + I_{1,i})w_i^2}}$$

Quality:

$$FOM = \frac{SDNR^2}{dose}$$

Examples of energy weighted images



Images in energy intervals



⁶⁰ kV, W anode ,2mm Al filter, 300 mu Si, 150 V

plots taken from the diploma thesis of J.Karg, Erlangen 2004

Examples of energy weighted images



SNR improvement: > 2.2

photon counting image

energy weighted image

60 kV, W anode ,2mm Al filter, 300 mu Si, 150 V

plots taken from the diploma thesis of J.Karg, Erlangen 2004

Examples of energy weighted images

 Weighting Function calculated from image:

$$w = \frac{(I_1 - I_2)}{(I_1 + I_2)}$$

 Large discrepancies compared to theoretical weighting function (ideal detector)





Problem with Medipix 2: bad energy resolution due to broad energy deposition and charge sharing !! --> Medipix 3

3 .Material reconstruction

$$t(E) = -\ln T(E) = -\ln \frac{N(E)}{N_o(E)} = \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{\mu(E)}{\rho}\right)_j p_j$$

$$p_j = (\rho d)_j$$

For energy bin i:

$$t_{i} = -\ln T_{i} = -\ln \frac{N_{i}}{N_{io}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\frac{\mu}{\rho})_{ij} p_{j} = M_{ij} p_{j}$$

Method: determine for given material matrix M the amount of material p which fits best to measured image t

Simulated examples for material reconstruction









plots taken from the diploma thesis of M.Firsching, Erlangen 2005

Material reconstruction in medical immaging

Medical imaging: Most of the contrast is due to density variations: Atten. coeff. of different ,,materials" all have the same energy dependence

Normal contrast agents have high density.

New concept: Contrast agent has different energy behaviour → Quantitative agent reconstruction





Simulated examples for material reconstruction





Photon counting



Iodine image



Gadolinium image

plots taken from the diploma thesis of M.Firsching, Erlangen 2005

Material reconstruction for broad energy bins:

$$T_{eff} = \frac{\int_{E_1}^{E_2} T(E) S(E) dE}{\int_{E_1}^{E_2} S(E) dE} = \frac{\int_{E_1}^{E_2} \exp(-\frac{\mu(E)}{\rho} p) S(E) dE}{\int_{E_1}^{E_2} S(E) dE}$$

$$\mu_{eff} = -\frac{1}{p} \ln T_{eff}$$

CT-imaging:

$$t(x,\theta) = -\ln T(x,\theta) = -\ln \frac{N}{N_o}(x,\theta)$$

$$\longrightarrow \widetilde{\mu} \ (\vec{r}) = \left\langle \mu \ (E, \vec{r}) \right\rangle_{Det}$$

Simulated photon counting images:



35 keV, Mo anode



35 keV, Mo anode

low contrast phantome: water and fat disks in breast tissue high contrast: calcium disks in breast tissue

CT image of a peanut with Medipix 2







CT image of a mouse with Medipix 2





CT image of a mouse with Medipix 2





CT image of a mouse with Medipix 2





CT-imaging:



CT-imaging:



Energy sensitive CT-imaging:

$$t_i(E, x, \theta) = -\ln T_i(E, x, \theta) = -\ln \frac{N_i}{N_{io}}(E, x, \theta)$$

Many thanks to my students for their work



CT-imaging:

- 1. Take logarithm: $\eta_{\theta}(t) = \ln \frac{I_0}{I_{\theta}(t)}$
- 2. Filter with kernel: $\zeta_{\theta}(t') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta_{\theta}(t) h(t-t') dt$
- 3. Back project: $f(x, y) = \int_0^{\pi} \zeta_{\theta}(x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta) d\theta$

$$t(x,\theta) = -\ln T(x,\theta) = -\ln \frac{N}{N_o}(x,\theta)$$

plots taken from the diploma thesis of M.Firsching, Erlangen 2005

plots taken from the diploma: thesis of J.Karg, Erlangen 2004

Propagation of secondary photons:

CZT 26 keV





CZT 32 keV





Spectral attenuation coefficients







$$\int_{E_{dh}}^{E_{max}} \int_{0}^{L} \mu(E,\vec{r}) \, dl \, dE = \ln \frac{\int I(E,\vec{r}) \, dE}{\int I_{o}(E) \, dE} \text{ ge} \int_{0}^{L} \mu(E,\vec{r}) \, dl = \ln \frac{I(E,\vec{r})}{I_{o}(E)}$$

position resolution: MTF with Medipix 1 and 2:



plots taken from the work of F.Pfeiffer, M.Hoheisel, Erlangen 2004