

Detector needs for imaging with high spatial and temporal resolution

P. Cloetens

R. Mokso, W. Ludwig¹, E. Boller,
O. Hignette, J. Lambert², S. Bohic

ESRF, Grenoble ¹ GEMPPM, INSA, Lyon ² GMCM, Rennes

3D Imaging



100 m

porosity

Motivation 3D microscopy (non-destructive) in-situ experiments (strain, fatigue, ...) 'representative elementary volume' input for calculations: µstructure ↔ properties

 \Leftrightarrow

Compromise spatial resolution micron - 100 nm field of view 10 mm - 100 μm

> surface observed during fatigue



Frontiers in 3D Imaging

Sensitivity, Speed, Resolution, Rich Probes, Dose

Synchrotron Radiation is crucial

Detector often equally important

Role of detector in CT





distance: holotomography, 3DXRD energy: edge CT, XANES, fluorescence

New '3D detector' to replace 1 more axis: distance, energy or 'angle'

Experimental Set-up



Source:



ID19: 1 wiggler, 2 undulators distance to source: 145 m (coherence) Monochromator: double Si crystal ($\Delta\lambda/\lambda=10^{-4}$) or multilayer ($\Delta\lambda/\lambda=10^{-2}$) Sample stage rotation stage (tomography) sample environment Detector fluorescent screen - lens - CCD pixel size: 0.28 μm - 40 μm **FReLoN** cameras 1K x 1K, 13.5 bits CCD, 60 ms/frame

2K x 2K, 13.5 bits CCD, 240 ms/frame

Single camera covers nearly all applications from ms to minutes

Fast Tomography: Liquid Foams

Coarsening: pressure driven growth or disappearance of bubbles





2 minutes/scan (2GB data)

⇒ 3D Growth Law: volume individual bubbles in time but also grain growth, sintering (ID15), bread (BM05), metallic foams, ... F. Graner (UJF), J. Lambert, P. Cloetens

Fast Tomography: Liquid Foams

Data Analysis

Segmentation + labelling individual bubbles

Behaves ~ as dispersed bubbles : cf. LSW mean field theory



J. Lambert (Univ. Rennes)

Fast Tomography: Liquid Foams

Towards the Dry Foam limit (liquid fraction $\rightarrow 0$)

Liquid foams

Lava (RT)





Scan time ~ 6 sec 512² ; 300 proj. 20 ms / projection



Scan time ~ 3 sec 512² ; 300 proj. 10 ms / projection

DALSA camera (12 bits): 60 images/s (1024) or 110 images/s (binned) cf. ID15 High Energy beamline (M. Di Michiel)

Fast Tomography

Today: 3D volume ~ second time range (monochromatic beam)

Faster CCD's

keep in mind the full story: e.g. DALSA is faster compared to FRELON but sensitivity is 5 times lower, QE 2.5 times

Further multiplexing + frame transfer: Parallel read-out: 4 channels \rightarrow 32 channels custom designed CCD (1 M\$ development)? adapted to needs of SR community



Fast Tomography

New experimental arrangements cf 5th, 6th generation CT: no rotation!

Multiple 2D beams / 2D detectors



Multiplexing of the angle

Compact optical / detector design? N beams: 180/N angular range, acquisition time divided by N

Combined approach: full 3D datasets in *ms* time range Afterglow issues!

Phase Contrast

Dream 1: Sensitivity

Absorption contrast too low high spatial resolution light materials similar attenuation: C-C, Al-Si, Al-Al₂O₃





0.1% shrinkage \Leftrightarrow 2 voxels motion (N=2048)

Phase Contrast

- Increase the energy
- Dose and Attenuation contrast drops
- Replaced by Phase Contrast ☺
- DQE drops 🛞
 - DQE limited by attenuation in scintillator

Potential Phase Contrast still largely unused due to low DQE at higher energies

Holo-tomography

1) phase retrieval with images at different distances



A A

cf. Focus Variation Method

Phase map

2) tomography: repeated for \approx 1000 angular positions

3D distribution of δ or the electron-density improved resolution straightforward interpretation processing



P.Cloetens et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 75, 2912 (1999)

In-situ imaging of organic tissue

In situ 3D imaging of a seed of an Arabidopsis plant





R. Mache (UJF, Grenoble)

In-situ imaging of Arabidopsis

Holotomographic approach Four distances E = 21 keV

Seed of Arabidopsis

Tomographic Slices





30 µm

R. Mache (UJF, Grenoble)

In-situ imaging of Arabidopsis

Seed of Arabidopsis

Tomographic Slice



Fast radiography



intratracheal pressure during exposure



TL Wasserthal, R. Fink (Erlangen)

I mm

High Resolution Imaging Without X-ray magnification



• 25 μ m thick scintillator \rightarrow 2 μ m resolution \rightarrow up to 40 keV

- 5 μ m thick scintillator \rightarrow 1 μ m resolution \rightarrow up to 20 keV
- 1 μ m thick scintillator $\rightarrow 0.5 \mu$ m resolution

Detector efficiency

Scintillator is semi transparant

→ use several detectors in parallel

Multiplexing of the distance

Practical issues:

medium resolution: 4 distances over 8 m high resolution: 4 distances over 100 mm on-line data-analysis

Detector efficiency



Other schemes than fluorescent screen - lens - CCD

Detector Efficiency



1D KI crystal in C nanotube R. Meyer *et al*. University of Camebridge Science, 2000

Nano-Tomography Project

Motivation:

Materials Science: relevant scale 0.1-10 µm

Nano-technology/fabrication: 50 nm scale and below

Cell biology, colloids: complete cell < 20 μ m

Strategy:

Dedicated 3D microscope State-of-the-art in-house / commercial products

Optics







Mechanics / metrology Sample preparation

Goal: *routine* CT with ~ 50 nm spatial resolution combine micro-structure and micro-analysis

P. Cloetens J. Susini O. Hignette

Combine Configurations

Projection Microscopy: Structure Dose efficient, fast Phase contrast



Fluorescence mapping:

Nano-analysis Slow Rich, trace elements Phase contrast



Full-field microscope:

Structure Dose inefficient, fast Absorption + phase



Detection and Nano-imaging

• Signal-to-noise ratio interacting volume ↓

• Radiation damage

X-ray magnification using lenses

Full field microscope: FZP's 60 nm spatial resolution at 4 keV Zernike PhC with phase ring

U. Neuhaeusler, W. Ludwig, ID21; G. Schneider, D.Hambach

Fresnel Zone Plate technology: limited field of view (~ 50 µm) large focal distance (~ 20 mm) little energy tuneability needs good monochromaticity: Δλ/λ<10⁻³ → will not solve all detector issues
25 nm image pixel with 100 µm pixel detector: 80 m path length! Keep the detector pixels as small as possible

Kirkpatrick-Baez focusing < 300 mm 150 m 50 mm focus mirror source slits aultilayer Mirror Efficiency: reflectivity towards 1 (0.6)

No chromatic aberration: large bandwidth possible Large *NA* Tuneable focus

O. Hignette, G. Rostaing

Kirkpatrick-Baez focusing



The New Units

Photon Density on Sample: 5 10¹¹ ph/s in 90 nm x 90 nm spot

6 10¹³ ph/s/µm²

Old units

6 10⁷ ph/s/nm²

New units

A Nano-Probe for hard X-ray nano-science



Projection Microscopy: Phase Retrieval

5 distances

Mass \Rightarrow Quantitative Fluorescence

Are single atom x-ray experiments possible? cf. D. Bilderback (Cornell)

- Cu: ~ 4 nanogramme/cm² 1 ag (10 s) (S. Bohic)
- 300 ms: sensitive to cc < ppm for Cu, Zn, ...</p>
- \blacksquare 10⁴ atoms
- Detection angle fluorescence: 3 10⁻² srd
- Detection efficiency to be improved Careful with scattered radiation, collimators, angle resolved
 No longer that unlikely

Data handling

Memory: database TomoDBII MIS



Data storage: NICE (backup???)

Data processing:



PyHST (scisoft, A Mirone, R Wilcke) on linux mini-cluster (10 cpu's)



(CS, WD Klotz, G Foerstner)

Multiplexing of the processing

Data analysis???

Conclusions

- 3D detectors: multiplexing of distance, energy, ...
- Detector is crucial element for the resolution, the speed and the sensitivity
- Improvement in efficiency is necessary for applications in soft condensed matter, biology
- CCD-based detectors will be hard to beat for full-field imaging evolution possible: e.g. custom designs
- Pixel detectors with small pixels (~ 10 μ m)?
- Large gains possible in fluorescence imaging

Acknowledgements

Spectrometrie Physique, Grenoble F. Graner
 Univ. Notre Dame, Indiana J. Glazier
 GMCM, Univ. Rennes J. Lambert, R. Delannay
 Liquid Foams

■UJF, Grenoble R. Mache Lab. Louis Néel, Grenoble M. Schlenker *Plant cell imaging* Zoologie I, Univ Erlangen L.T. Wasserthal Phys. Chemie II, Univ. Erlangen R. Fink Insect Imaging Univ. Bordeaux 1 R. Ortega, G. Deves Cellular mapping of metals **ESRF** support groups P. Bernard (ISG) D. Fernandez (Bliss) A. Mirone (Scisoft) T. Martin (ISG) J. Borrel (TS)